Syntheses and structures of 3-stannylcholest-5-ene species

Heather J. Buchanan,^{*a*} Philip J. Cox,^{*b*} Solange M. S. V. Doidge-Harrison,^{*c*} R. Alan Howie,^{*a*} Marcel Jaspars^{*a*} and James L. Wardell *,^{*a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen, UK AB24 3UE

^b School of Pharmacy, The Robert Gordon University, Schoolhill, Aberdeen, UK AB10 1FR ^c School of Applied Sciences, The Robert Gordon University, St. Andrews St., Aberdeen, UK AB25 1HG

The compounds, 3α - and 3β -triphenylstannylcholest-5-ene, 1 and 2 respectively, have been prepared stereospecifically in reactions of Ph₃SnLi with cholesteryl methane- or toluene-*p*-sulfonates, and of Ph₃SnCl with the Grignard reagent from cholesteryl chloride, respectively. Complete ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral assignments for 1 have been obtained using HMBC and HMQC techniques: these have been used to aid the ¹³C NMR spectral assignments for 2 and 3α - and 3β -(I_nPh_{3-n}Sn)cholest-5-enes (n = 1-2) (9–12). Crystal structure determinations of 3α -(IPh₂Sn)cholest-5-ene 9 and 3α -(I₂PhSn)cholest-5-ene 10 indicate distorted tetrahedral geometries about the tin centres in both compounds. The Sn–I bond lengths are 2.731(5) Å in 9 and between 2.6979(12) and 2.7173(12) Å in 10. Despite the similarity in the values (ca. 60°) of the dihedral angles, Sn–C(3)–C(2)–C(1) [C(1) aliphatic carbon] and Sn–C(3)–C(4)–C(5) [C(5) olefinic carbon], the values of ${}^{3}J_{1}^{119}$ Sn– ${}^{13}C(5)$] values are essentially the same in each of 1, 9 and 10; in contrast, ${}^{3}J_{1}^{119}$ Sn– ${}^{13}C(4)$ –C(5) *ca*. 180°].

Introduction

Interest in organotin derivatives of natural products has been maintained over a long period of time for diverse reasons: biological activities (especially anti-tumour activities),^{1,2} chemical reactivities³ and structure determinations⁴⁻⁶ have all featured, for example, in the publications on stannylated steroids, which date⁷ from 1970. The linking of the stannyl moieties to the steroid residues has involved not only Sn-O bonds (e.g. in alkoxide and carboxylate derivatives)^{2,8,9} but also Sn-C bonds.^{3-7,10} While steroids are generally hydrophobic molecules, they can contain a variety of organic functional groups, which strongly influence their properties. Reported tin-carbon bonded triorganostannyl steroids include the non-functionalised saturated alkyl compounds, 3a- and 3B-triorganostannylcholest- 5α -anes (organo group = Me or Ph),⁷ and their monoene analogues, 3α - and 3β -triphenylstannylcholest-5-enes (1 and 2, respectively)⁷ and 3α -(triphenylstannyl)cholest-4-ene 3.³ 7α -(Triphenylstannyl)cholest-5-en-3 β -ol 4, 7α -(triorganostannyl)cholest-5-en-3-one (5; organo group = Ph or Bu), 3-methoxy-19-norpregna-1,3,5,17,20-pentaen-21-yl}triphenylstannane 6,¹⁰ [(Z)-17-(2-triphenylstannyl)vinyl]estr-4-en-17 β -ol 7⁴ and (20Z)-3-methoxy-17-[2-(triphenylstannyl)vinyl]estra-1,3,5(10)-trien-17 β -ol 8⁶ illustrate well the diversity of the functionalised derivatives. Iodophenylstannyl analogues of 7 have also been reported.4

Structural studies of stannylated steroids are limited. Crystal structures have been determined for two compounds, 1^5 and 7.^{4a} In addition, NMR methods (HMQC–RELAY, NOESY *etc.*) have been used to obtain solution structures and conformations of 7^{4b} and 8.⁶ Compounds 7 and 8 are two of the few tetraorganotin species to contain other than four-coordinate tin atoms, as consequences of the intramolecular coordination of hydroxy groups to the tin centres. As previously shown,⁵ the tetraorganostannane 1 is more typically four-coordinate in the solid state. In this study we have carried out the complete ¹³C NMR assignments of 1, 2 and their iodophenyl analogues 3a-and 3β -(I_nPh_{3-n}Sn)cholest-5-enes (9–12) and have determined



the X-ray structures of 3α -(iododiphenyl)stannylcholest-5-ene **9** and 3α -(diiodophenyl)stannylcholest-5-ene **10**.



Scheme 1 Reagents: (i) $RS(O)_2Cl$ (R = Me or *p*-MeC₆H₄), pyridine; (ii) Ph₃SnLi; (iii) nI_2 ; (iv) $S(O)Cl_2$; (v) Mg, Ph₃SnCl

Results and discussion

Synthesis

The syntheses of tetraorganotin compounds from R_3SnLi and alkyl halides and tosylates have been widely studied, the mechanisms and stereochemistries of these reactions having attracted particular attention.^{11–13} Reactions of R_3SnLi compounds with secondary alkyl tosylates generally occur with inversion of configuration,^{11a,14,15} as further illustrated in this study by the formation of **1** from Ph₃SnLi and cholesterol sulfonates, Scheme 1.

Another stereospecific reaction, but with overall retention of configuration, occurred between Ph₃SnCl and the Grignard reagent from 3β -chlorocholest-5-ene **16** in THF with the formation of **2**, Scheme 1. The stereochemistry of reactions of alkyl-Grignard reagents with organotin halides are dependent on the alkyl halides RX, *e.g.* reactions of Me₃SnCl with (i) the 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexyl-Grignard reagent provided ¹⁵ predominantly *trans*-4-*tert*-butylcyclohexyltrimethyltin, (ii) the Grignard reagent from >95% *cis*-4-methylcyclohexyl bromide gave ¹⁴ only *trans*-4-methylcyclohexylstannanes and (iii) the Grignard reagent from *exo*-2-norbornane produced ¹⁶ an *exo*-endo mixture of 2-trimethylstannylnorbornane.

Zimmer and Bayless obtained⁷ 1 from the reaction of 3β -chlorocholest-5-ene with Ph₃SnLi, while both 1 and 2 were produced from 3β -iodocholest-5-ene and Ph₃SnLi. We found in this study that the one-pot Barbieri-type reaction of 16, Li and Ph₃SnCl in THF also produced both the α - and β -epimers, in a 1:2 ratio, based on peak heights in the ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum.

The iododephenylation products, 9-12, were obtained from reactions of 1 and 2 with iodine. Attempts were made to obtain samples of 9-12 as well as 2 for X-ray crystallography, however, suitable crystals were only obtained for 9 and 10.

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 9

Sn-C(28)	2.14(2)	Sn-C(34)	2.159(11)
Sn-C(3)	2.16(2)	Sn-I	2.731(5)
C(28)-Sn-C(3)	111.6(6)	C(34)-Sn-C(3)	121.7(5)
C(28)-Sn-C(34)	107.3(3)	C(34)-Sn-I	104.5(2)
C(3)-Sn-I	105.0(3)	C(28)-Sn-I	105.3(4)
C(4)-C(3)-Sn	110.9(9)	C(2)-C(3)-Sn	112.4(7)
C(29)-C(28)-Sn	119.8(4)	C(33)-C(28)-Sn	120.1(6)
C(35)-C(34)-Sn	119.4(4)	C(39)-C(34)-Sn	120.6(4)

 Table 2
 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 10

Sn(1)-C(28) Sn(1)-C(3) Sn(1)-I(1) Sn(1)-I(2)	2.149(14) 2.187(13) 2.709(2) 2.7173(12)	Sn(1')-C(28') Sn(1')-C(3') Sn(1')-I(1') Sn(1')-I(2')	2.139(14) 2.160(13) 2.6979(12) 2.7072(14)
$\begin{array}{c} C(28)-Sn(1)-C(3)\\ C(28)-Sn(1)-I(1)\\ C(3)-Sn(1)-I(2)\\ C(28)-Sn(1)-I(2)\\ C(3)-Sn(1)-I(2)\\ I(1)-Sn(1)-I(2)\\ C(2)-C(3)-Sn(1)\\ C(4)-C(3)-Sn(1)\\ C(33)-C(28)-Sn\\ C(29)-C(28)-Sn(1)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 126.0(5)\\ 106.3(3)\\ 107.6(4)\\ 105.3(3)\\ 104.2(3)\\ 105.72(4)\\ 110.9(10)\\ 109.2(8)\\ 121.2(11)\\ 121.4(10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C(28')-Sn(1')-C(3')\\ C(28')-Sn(1')-I(1')\\ C(3')-Sn(1')-I(2')\\ C(28')-Sn(1')-I(2')\\ C(3')-Sn(1')-I(2')\\ I(1')-Sn(1')-I(2')\\ C(2')-C(3')-Sn(1')\\ C(4')-C(3')-Sn(1')\\ C(33')-C(28')-Sn(1')\\ C(29')-C(28')-Sn(1')\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 129.7(4)\\ 106.3(3)\\ 102.6(4)\\ 105.5(3)\\ 106.3(3)\\ 107.21(4)\\ 110.9(10)\\ 110.8(8)\\ 119.9(10)\\ 120.4(9) \end{array}$



Fig. 1 Atom numbering arrangement for 9. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at 40%.



Fig. 2 Atom numbering arrangement for 10 (molecule 1). Thermal ellipsoids drawn at 40%.

Crystal structure of 9

Selected bond lengths are listed in Table 1. Fig. 1 shows the atom arrangements. The tin atom in **9** has a distorted tetrahedral geometry, with bond angles at tin ranging from 104.5(2) to 121.7(5)°; the largest angle is for C(34)–Sn–C(3), while the three smallest angles [104.5(2) to 105.3(4)] are C–Sn–I angles. The Sn–C bond lengths are in the range 2.14(2) to 2.159(11) Å and are unexceptional. The Sn–I bond length is 2.731(5) Å and is at the higher end of the range (2.69–2.73 Å) found for Sn–I bond lengths in tetrahedral, tetracoordinate R₃SnI molecules.¹⁷ There are no intermolecular Sn · · · I or I · · · I interactions close to the appropriate sums of the van der Waals radii.

Crystal structure of 10

Selected bond lengths are listed in Table 2. Fig. 2 shows the atom arrangements. There are two independent molecules in

		10				
Torsion angle	9	molecule 1	molecule 2	1 <i>ª</i>		
$\begin{array}{c} C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-Sn\\ Sn-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)\\ C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)\\ C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)\\ C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-C(10)\\ C(4)-C(5)-C(10)-C(1)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -67.7(11) \\ 66.6(11) \\ 55.5(12) \\ -57.5(12) \\ 53.9(13) \\ -42.8(12) \end{array}$	-64.9(12) 66.9(12) 57(2) -55.6(14) 52.1(13) -45.8(13)	-62.6(12) 65.8(10) 57.6(14) -55.7(14) 51.9(13) -44.9(13)	-67(2) 69(2) 59(2) -55(2) 50(2) -43(2)	-72(2) 70(2) 58(2) -59(2) 52(2) -44(2)	
C(5)-C(10)-C(1)-C(2) C(10)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	39.4(12) -48.3(13)	44.7(13) -52.1(14)	43.4(12) -52.5(13)	45(2) -55(2)	47(2) -54(2)	

^a Ref. 5.

the unit cell. These are arranged about a pseudo inversion centre at 0.185, 0.027, 0.033: approximately 75% of the atoms in 10 are related by this feature. One of the molecules, molecule 2, shows disorder in the C(17) side chain with two positions for each of the atoms, C(25), C(26) and C(27); in contrast, no disorder is found in molecule 1. The tin atoms in 10 have distorted tetrahedral geometries, the largest angle in each molecule being the C-Sn-C angle: C(3)-Sn(1)-C(28) = 126.0(5) and C(3')-Sn(1')-C(28') = 129.7(4), in molecules 1 and 2, respectively. The other angles at tin occur in the narrow ranges, 104.2(3) to 107.6(4) and 102.6(4) to 107.21(4)°. The Sn-C bond lengths are within the expected range. The Sn-I bond lengths are shorter than that found in 9, being 2.709(2) and 2.7173(12) Å in molecule 1, and 2.6979(12) and 2.7072(14) in molecule 2. These are very similar to the values, 2.6980(9) and 2.7106(10) Å, determined in I2PhSn(CH2)4SnPhI2, another distorted tetrahedral, tetracoordinate alkylaryltin diiodide.¹⁸ As with 9, there are no intermolecular Sn · · · I or I · · · I interactions close to the appropriate sums of the van der Waals radii.

Comparison of crystal structures. As shown by the similar dihedral angles for the A rings in 1, 9 and 10, no significant structural change occurs on iodide substitution of phenyl groups in 1, see Table 3: the ring conformations in the cholestene moiety of 9 and 10 are generally very similar to those determined previously⁵ for 1 and for 13.¹⁹ In each of 1, 9 and 10, a phenyl ring lies under the A ring of the cholestene moiety.

NMR Spectra

In order to identify unambiguously δ^{13} C and J(Sn-C) values with particular carbon atoms in 1, 2 and 9-12, a complete assignment of the NMR spectra of 1 was carried out using HMQC¹⁶ and HMBC¹⁷ NMR spectra, obtained at 150.8 MHz and the known ¹³C NMR shifts for cholesterol (Table 4).¹⁸ The major deviations from the cholesterol chemical shifts occurred in the A ring due to the effect of the triphenylstannyl moiety. That C(3) in 1 was at δ 31.93 ppm [cf. the value δ 71.3 ppm for C(3) of cholesterol] was certain because of the large $J(^{119}\text{Sn}^{-13}\text{C})$ and $J(^{117}\text{Sn}^{-13}\text{C})$ values (435, 416 Hz, respectively) associated with this resonance. The three remaining A ring methylene carbon atoms had $\delta^{13}C = 38.52$, 36.67 and 26.58 ppm with values of $J(^{119,117}\text{Sn}^{-3}\text{C}) = 15, 11, 13 \text{ Hz}$, respectively. As these methylene carbons could neither be assigned simply from the values of the carbon-tin coupling constants nor by analogy with the δ^{13} C values of cholesterol, HMBC was used. The double bond proton, H(6) (δ^{1} H = 5.14), was used as the starting point for this analysis. An HMBC correlation was observed between the signal, δ^{13} C at 36.67 and that for H(6); thus C(4) was considered to have δ^{13} C at 36.67. Similarly, a correlation was observed between the signal δ^{13} C at 38.52 and that for H(19), thus δ 38.52 was considered to arise from C(1), and by a process of elimination δ 26.58 was due to C(2). Other correlations confirming these assignments are given in Table 5. The assignments for 2 and 9–12 were then obtained using the assignments for 1 and the ¹³C NMR spectra of 2 and 9–12, obtained at 62.9 MHz, see Table 4.



The two phenyl groups in solid **9**, as shown by the crystal structure determination, have quite different locations, with one of the phenyl groups being placed under the A ring of the cholestene moiety. The phenyl ring diastereotopy is maintained in CDCl₃ solution up to 44 °C; coalescence of the aryl signals in the ¹³C NMR spectra occurs at that temperature.

The very much favoured conformation in solution of a cyclohexyl-SnR₃ derivative (e.g. 17: R = Me or Ph; R' = H, see Fig. 3), has the organostannyl substituent in an equatorial site of a chair-shaped cyclohexane ring, i.e. conformation b, Fig. 3.^{11*a*,20,21} It is only at -69 °C that the chair-chair conformation interconversion for (17: R = Me; R' = H) is frozen out: the ${}^{3}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}{}^{-13}\text{C})$ at $-69 \,{}^{\circ}\text{C}$ for conformer **b** (with the equatorially sited Me₃Sn group) has a value of 65 Hz, in comparison to the average value of 57.7 Hz recorded at equilibrium²¹ at 35 °C. No simple stannylated cyclohexane derivative has yet been reported to exist in solution in a fixed conformation with the organostannyl substituent in an axial position. The extents of the conformations **a** and **b** of cis-4-R'-cyclohexyl-SnR₃¹¹ (Fig. 3), at equilibrium can be calculated from the appropriate A factors: values of the A factors for Me₃Sn, Ph₃Sn and Me groups have been found to be 1.06,²¹ 1.44²² and 1.74²³ kcal mol⁻¹, respectively.

The fused ring molecules, 1, 2 and 9–12, are considered to be rigid molecules in solution. It is anticipated that the conformations of the cholestene rings in solution are similar to those in the solid state. Thus the two ${}^{3}J({}^{119,117}Sn{}^{-13}C)$ values in the ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra for each of the fused-ring 3a- and 3B-stannylcholest-5-ene compounds can be related to well-defined Sn-C-C-C dihedral angles. The Sn-C(3)-C(4)-C(5) and Sn-C(3)-C(2)-C(1) dihedral angles were found to be essentially the same in each of the α -derivatives (see Table 2); a similar result is expected for the β -compounds with the Sn-C(3)-C(4)-C(5) and Sn-C(3)-C(2)-C(1) dihedral angles near 180°. As shown in Table 4, both the ${}^{3}J({}^{119}Sn-{}^{13}C)$ values, associated with C(5) and C(1), are practically the same in each of the β stannyl derivatives being 69 ± 1 Hz for 2, 83 ± 1 Hz for 11 and 111 ± 3 Hz for 12. In contrast for the α -derivatives, ${}^{3}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}-$ ¹³C) values associated with the C(1) atoms (alkyl carbon atoms) are generally *ca*. twice the values for the C(5) (olefinic) carbon atoms, being 15 and 8 in 1, 20 and 11 in 9, and 28 and 16 Hz in 10. The Sn–C(3) σ -bonds in the α -derivatives (but not in the β -derivatives) are almost ideally situated to interact with the C(5)–C(6) π -orbitals; this σ - π interaction must result in the reduced coupling constants.

Karplus type equations, relating coupling constants, ${}^{3}J({}^{119}Sn{}^{-13}C)$, with Sn–C–C–C dihedral angles, have been established for organostannanes.²⁴ The original correlations were



C atom δ^{13} C/ppm [J(Sn-C)]/Hz Comp. (Z) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 **14** (β-OH) 37.5 31.6 71.3 42.4 141.2 121.3 32.0 32.0 50.5 36.5 21.2 28.3 42.4 56.9 24.3 40.0 56.5 38.52 26.58 31.93 36.67 141.83 121.26 31.51 31.38 48.80 37.15 20.44 28.16 42.15 56.82 24.14 39.71 56.10 $1 (\alpha - \text{SnPh}_3)$ [15]^a [13]^a [435, 416]^b [11]" [8]^a 42.3 27.6 29.3 37.5 142.1 119.0 50.6 56.8 56.1 $2 (\beta - \text{SnPh}_3)$ 31.8 31.6 37.5 20.6 28.2 42.2 24.2 39.7 [70]" [18]^a [424, 405]^b [16]" [68, 65]^t 9 (α -SnPh₂I) 38.5 26.1 40.2 36.1 141.0 122.4 31.5 31.2 48.8 37.2 20.4 28.1 42.1 56.7 24.1 39.6 56.0 [20] [14]*^a* 27.2 [445, 427]^b [nd] [11]^a 11 (β -SnPh₂I) 37.4 41.6 33.7 143.0 120.0 31.7 31.6 50.5 37.0 20.6 28.1 42.2 56.7 24.1 39.6 56.0 [22]*ª* 25.7 [83]" [422, 403]^b [19]" [84, 80]^b 10 (α -SnPhI₂) 38.9 51.5 140.1 123.7 31.3 31.1 49.0 37.3 42.0 24.1 35.5 20.4 28.1 56.6 39.5 56.0 [28]^a [12]^a [461, 440]^b [nd] [16]^a 12 (β -SnPhI₂) 41.0 26.9 41.0 36.5 141.7 121.2 31.8 31.6 50.3 37.3 20.6 28.1 42.2 56.6 24.1 39.6 56.0 [114, 109]^b [28]^a [428, 409]^b [25]" [11]^a [108, 103]^b [11]^a 27.9 13 (β-OSO₂Tol) 38.7 82.3 36.2 138.7 123.4 31.7 31.6 49.8 36.7 20.9 28.4 42.1 56.5 24.1 39.5 56.0 $15 (\beta - OSO_2 Me)$ 38.6 27.9 36.2 123.7 36.8 42.2 56.5 24.1 39.5 81.9 138.5 31.7 31.6 49.2 20.9 28.8 56.0 16 (β-Cl) 39.0 43.3 122.4 42.2 33.3 60.2 140.7 31.7 31.6 49.9 36.2 20.8 28.1 56.6 24.1 39.6 56.0 C atom δ^{13} C/ppm [J(Sn–C)]/Hz 18 19 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 C_i C_o C_m C_p Sn atom δ ¹¹⁹Sn/ppm Comp. (Z) 20 Me **14** (β-OH) 35.8 39.6 28.0 22.6 22.9 12.0 19.4 18.8 36.4 24.1 $1 (\alpha - \text{SnPh}_3)$ 11.73 19.79 35.72 18.60 36.13 23.82 39.44 27.91 22.47 22.74 140.13 137.29 128.15 128.36 [450, 430]^b [5]*ª* 128.7 [34]^{*a*} [46]^a 137.3 $2 (\beta - \text{SnPh}_3)$ 11.8 19.4 35.7 18.7 36.1 23.8 39.5 28.0 22.5 22.8 138.5 128.4 -114.8[459, 439]^b [33]^a [46]^a [ca. 5]^a -117.1129.5, 129.4 9 (α -SnPh₂I) 11.7 19.6 35.7 18.6 36.2 23.8 39.4 27.9 22.4 22.7 138.8, 138.7 136.4, 136.3 128.6 [nd] [46]^a [54]^a [nd] -52.411 (β -SnPh₂I) 11.8 19.2 35.7 18.6 36.1 23.7 39.4 27.9 22.5 22.7 136.9 136.3 128.8 129.8 [458, 438] [44]^a [55, 53]^t [12]^{*a*} 130.3 -50.910 (α -SnPhI₂) 11.7 19.4 35.7 18.6 36.1 23.8 39.4 27.9 22.4 22.7 140.2 134.8 128.6 -101.9[63, 60]^b [69, 67]^b [15]^a [nd] 130.8 12 (β -SnPhI₂) 11.7 19.2 35.7 18.6 36.1 23.7 39.4 27.9 22.5 22.7 136.9 135.0 129.0 -116.6[nd] [58, 56]* [66, 63] [14]^a 134.5 144.3 13 (B-OSO,Tol) 11.7 19.0 35.6 18.6 36.0 23.7 39.4 28.1 22.4 22.7 129.6 127.5 21.5 22.4 22.7 39.0 15 (β -OSO₂Me) 11.7 19.1 35.6 18.6 36.0 23.7 39.4 28.1 16 (β-Cl) 11.7 19.1 35.6 18.6 36.1 23.7 39.4 28.1 22.4 22.7

24

23

12

25

27

^{*a*} J (^{119–117}Sn⁻¹³C) (Hz); ^{*b*} J (¹¹⁹Sn⁻¹³C) (Hz, J (¹¹⁷Sn⁻¹³C) (Hz)); nd = not detected.

Table 5	HMQC and HM	1BC NMR	Spectra	for	1
---------	-------------	---------	---------	-----	---

С	δ ¹³ C (150.8 MHz)	mult	J _{C−Sn} (Hz)	δ ¹ H (599.6 MHz)	mult (<i>J</i> in Hz)	НМВС
1	38.52	t	15	1.70	ddm J 13.4, 1.6	H2. H19
				1.23	dd J 13.3. 3.3) -
2	26.58	t	13	2.18	dddd J 14.0, 14.0, 3.9, 3.9	H1'. H4'
				2.09	dm J 13.2	,
3	31.93	d	435	2.76	dddd J 3.9, 3.9, 2.1, 2.1	H1/1', H4'
-		-	416			,
4	36.67	t	11	2.90	da J 14.1. 2.9	H2. H6
				2.45	dt J 14.4. 1.7	,
5	141.83	S	8		200 200, 200	H4′
6	121.26	d		5.14	dd J 3.4. 1.8	H4′
7	31.51	t		1.86	m	H6. H9. H14
				1.30	m	,,
8	31.38	d		1.35	dd J 10.9. 5.0	H6, H9, H11, H14
9	48.80	d		0.49	ddd J 10.9, 10.9, 7.5	H1', H8, H11, H12, H19
10	37.15	S				H1', H4', H6, H9, H19
11	20.44	ť		1.32	m	,,,,,,
12	28.16	t		1.88	m	H17
				1.56	m	
13	42.15	s				H14, H15′, H16, H17, H18
14	56.82	d		0.80	ddd J 12.7, 10.7, 7.2	H8, H15', H16, H18
15	24.14	t		1.56	m	, ,
				1.04	m	
16	39.71	t		1.94	dt J 12.4. 3.5	H15
				0.98	m	
17	56.10	d		1.08	bg J 9.5	H16, H21, H22
18	11.73	a		0.65	S	H14, H17
19	19.79	q		1.00	S	H1′, H9
20	35.72	đ		1.39	m	H17, H21
21	18.60	q		0.94	d J 6.5	H17, H20, H22/22'
22	36.13	t		1.39	m	, ,
				1.04	m	
23	23.82	t		1.39	m	H24
				1.18	m	
24	39.44	t		1.18	m	H26, H27
25	27.91	d		1.28	m	H26, H27
26	22.47	q		0.91	d J 6.5	H24, H27
27	22.74	q		0.92	d J 6.6	H24, H26
C_i	140.13	S	450, 43			, ·
- 1		-	0			
C	137.29	d	34	7.58	m	
Č,	128.15	d	46	7.36	m	
C_n^{m}	128.36	d	5	7.36	m	
r						



Fig. 4 Plot of torsion angle, C–C–C–Sn θ against ${}^{3}J({}^{119}Sn{}^{-13}C)/Hz$. Continuous plot is for Me₃Sn derivatives.²⁴

developed by Kuivila and co-workers using data for Me₃Sn derivatives of bi- and poly-cycloalkanes, Fig. 4. As the tincarbon coupling constants depend on the substituents on tin, e.g. see the differences in the ${}^{3}J(Sn-C)$ values for 1, 9 and 10, there is a need to establish Karplus type correlations for other stannyl systems, in particular the widely studied phenylstannyl derivatives. However, ³J(Sn-C) data, linked with precise values for dihedral angles, remain limited for Ph₃Sn derivatives: apart from the data obtained in this study, Rahm et al. have obtained ³J(Sn-C) data for 2-exo- and 2-endo-triphenylstannylnorbornanes.²⁵ These values are assembled in Fig. 4. The ³J(Sn-C) data used for the α -derivatives in Fig. 4 relate only to C(1) since the data for C(5) are affected by the C(5)–C(6) π -bond. Modified Karplus equations, with terms involving the electronegativities of substituents, have been successfully developed for proton-proton couplings;²⁶ a modified Karplus equation, taking into account additional factors such as interactions of Sn-C bonds with π -bonds, may be required for tin-carbon couplings in organostannanes.

Experimental

Melting points were measured using a Kofler hot-plate microscope and are uncorrected. ¹H, ¹³C and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectra were generally recorded on a Bruker 250 MHz instrument: the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for **1** were also obtained on a 600 MHz instrument by the EPSRC NMR service, based at the University of Edinburgh. *J* Values are given in Hz. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 205 Fourier-transform instrument. Ether refers to diethyl ether. X-Ray data for **9** and **10** were collected at 120 K and 150 K, respectively, by the EPSRC service, based at the University of Wales, Cardiff.

3β-Tosyloxycholest-5-ene (cholesteryl toluene-*p*-sulfonate) 13

Toluene-*p*-sulfonyl chloride (14.6 g, 0.076 mol) was added to a solution of cholesterol **14** (14.6 g, 0.038 mol) in dry pyridine (17 cm³). The solution was left standing overnight at room temperature after which time needles were produced. Ether was added to dissolve the solid, and the resulting solution was washed with water, dried and evaporated *in vacuo*. Recrystallisation of the residue from acetone yielded the product (15.4 g, 75.6%), mp 132–134 °C (lit.,²⁷ mp 131.5–132.5 °C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 0.67 (s, 3H, Me-18), 0.87 (d, 6H, *J* 6.6, Me-26 and Me-27), 0.91 (d, 3H, *J* 6.5, Me-21), 0.98 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.05–2.80 (m, 26H), 2.46 (s, 3H, C₆H₄*Me*-*p*), 4.3–4.4 (m, 1H, *J* 5.6, H-3), 5.31 (d, 1H, *J* 5.2, H-6), 7.34 (d, 2H, *J* 8.0, aryl-H), 7.8 (d, 2H, *J* 8.0, aryl-H). ¹³C NMR data are displayed in Table 4.

3β-Mesyloxycholest-5-ene (cholesteryl methanesulfonate) 15

Methanesulfonyl chloride (5.4 cm³) was added to a solution of 14 (16.4 g, 0.42 mol) in dry pyridine. The solution was left overnight at -5 °C before being allowed to return to room temperature and extracted with ether. The ether extract was washed with water and evaporated *in vacuo*. The resulting solid was further washed with water. Ether and methanol were added and the solution cooled to -5 °C to yield the product (15.1 g, 81.6%), mp 120–122 °C (lit.,²⁸ mp 121–123 °C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 0.68 (s, 3H, Me-18), 0.86 (d, 3H, *J* 6.6, Me-26), 0.87 (d, 3H, *J* 6.6, Me-27), 0.91 (d, 3H, *J* 6.5, Me-21), 1.02 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.06–2.73 (m, 26H), 3.00 (s, 3H, MeSO₂), 4.46–4.59 (m, 1H, *J* 6.3, H-3), 5.42 (d, 1H, *J* 5.1, H-6). ¹³C NMR data are displayed in Table 4.

3β-Chlorocholest-5-ene (cholesteryl chloride) 16^{29,30}

A mixture of **14** (12.5 g, 32 mmol) and thionyl chloride (15 ml, 0.2 mol) was stirred for 24 h at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was dissolved in ether and water was added until gas evolution ceased. The ether layer was collected, washed, dried over magnesium sulfate and evacuated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallised from acetone to yield 8.9 g (67.9%) of a yellow solid, mp 93–95 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 0.68 (s, 3H, Me-18), 0.86 (d, 3H, *J* 6.6, Me-26), 0.87 (d, 3H, *J* 6.6, Me-27), 0.91 (d, 3H, *J* 6.5, Me-21), 1.03 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.06–2.62 (m, 26H), 3.7–3.8 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.36 (d, 1H, *J* 5.2, H-6). ¹³C NMR data are displayed in Table 4.

3α-Triphenylstannylcholest-5-ene 1

Triphenylstannyllithium was prepared ³¹ from Li (1.6 g, 0.23 mol) and triphenyltin chloride (10.0 g, 0.023 mol) in anhydrous THF (30 cm^3) in an ultrasonic bath with a typical reaction time of 18 h. The olive-green solution of triphenylstannyllithium was filtered through glass-wool, cooled to -68 °C (acetone-ice slush bath) and 13 (5.0 g, 0.007 mol) in dry THF (25 cm³) added. The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen and allowed to reach room temperature overnight, hydrolysed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted with ether. The ether extract was washed with water, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and evaporated in vacuo to give an oil. The oil was dissolved in ether, filtered to remove the insoluble hexaphenylditin by-product, and the filtrate evaporated. The resultant oil was chromatographed on silica preparatory plates [eluent 5–10% ethyl acetate–light petroleum (bp 60–80 °C)]. The top band on the plates was collected as an oil and was crystallised from chloroform and ethanol (1:5) as colourless plates (1.46 g, 29%), mp 88–90 °C (lit.,²⁹ mp 85–91 °C) (Found: C, 75.3; H, 8.7%. $C_{45}H_{60}$ Sn requires C, 75.1; H, 8.4%).

A similar reaction of **15** with Ph₃SnLi also produced **1**. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compound **1** were assigned (see Table 2) using HMQC³² and HMBC³³ NMR spectra, as well as

by analogy to the ¹³C NMR shifts of cholesterol.³⁴ ¹¹⁹Sn NMR data are displayed in Table 4.

3β-Triphenylstannylcholest-5-ene 2 (Method 1)

(a) Preparation of cholesterylmagnesium chloride. A concentrated solution of cholesteryl chloride **16** (2.0 g) in anhydrous THF (2 cm³) was added to magnesium ribbon (1.2 g, 0.049 mol), activated by iodine. The reaction mixture was gently heated and the remaining cholesteryl chloride (in total 10.0 g, 0.025 mol) in anhydrous THF added. The solution was refluxed for 4 h and used immediately.

(b) Reaction of the Grignard reagent. To the cholesterylmagnesium chloride solution was added triphenyltin chloride (9.52 g, 0.025 mol) in anhydrous THF. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 24 h, and hydrolysed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The ether layer was collected, washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate, dried over magnesium sulfate and evaporated *in vacuo* to leave an oil. The oil was purified by column chromatography (eluent CHCl₃), followed by recrystallisation from dichloromethane–ethanol or acetone to yield colourless needles, mp 151–152 °C.

3β-Triphenylstannylcholest-5-ene 2 (Method 2)

A reaction mixture containing 15 (3.8 g, 0.0098 mol), triphenyltin chloride (4 g, 0.0098 mol) and magnesium ribbon (0.61 g, 0.025 mol), activated by iodine, in anhydrous THF was refluxed until TLC [eluent: 10% ethyl acetate-light petroleum (bp 60-80 °C)] indicated consumption of the cholesteryl chloride. The solution was hydrolysed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted with ether. The ether layer was washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate and dried over magnesium sulfate. Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo left a yellow coloured oil. The oil was redissolved in ether and the solution was left to allow the remaining hexaphenylditin byproduct to precipitate out. The ether solution was filtered and evacuated in vacuo to leave a glassy oil, which was crystallised from dichloromethane-ethanol (1:5) to give needles, mp 147-148 °C (lit.,²⁹ mp 151–153 °C) (Found: C, 75.3; H, 8.7%. $C_{45}H_{60}Sn$ requires C, 75.1; H, 8.4%); $\delta_{H}(CDCl_3)$ 0.66 (s, 3H, Me-18), 0.85 (d, 3H, J 6.5, Me-26), 0.86 (d, 3H, J 6.6, Me-27), 0.90 (d, 3H, J 6.5, Me-21), 0.96 (s, 3H, Me-19), 0.98-2.72 (m, 26H), 5.24 (d, 1H, J 5.2, H-6), 7.34-7.39 (m, 10H, p- + m-aryl H), 7.40-7.62 (m, 5H, o-aryl H). ¹³C and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR data are displayed in Table 4.

Preparation of 3-(iodophenyl)stannylcholest-5-ene derivatives

 3α - or 3β -Triphenylstannylcholest-5-ene (**1** or **2**) was dissolved in chloroform and a solution containing a calculated quantity of iodine (1 or 2 mol equiv.) in chloroform was then added dropwise with stirring. The reaction was stirred until all the iodine had reacted. All volatiles were removed under vacuum to leave oily solid residues.

3α-(Iododiphenyl)stannylcholest-5-ene 9. From **1** (0.5 g, 0.70 mmol) and I₂ (0.176 g, was recrystallised from chloroform-methanol as needles, 0.24 g, 44.9%, mp 120–121 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 0.60 (s, 3H Me-18), 0.87 (d, 3H, *J* 6.5, Me-26), 0.87 (d, 3H, *J* 6.5, Me-27), 0.88 (d, 3H, *J* 6.4, Me-21), 0.96 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.00–2.90 (m, 26H), 5.25 (d, 1H, *J* 5.0, H-6), 7.26–7.41 (m, 10H, *p*-+*m*-aryl H), 7.51–7.73 (m, 5H, *o*-aryl H). ¹³C and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR data are displayed in Table 4.

3α-(Diiodophenyl)stannylcholest-5-ene (10). From **1** (0.204 g, 0.283 mmol) and I₂ (0.144 g, 0.567 mmol), was crystallised from chloroform–methanol as platelets, 0.14 g, 60%, mp 120.5–121.5 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 0.60 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.87 (d, 3H, *J* 6.5, Me-26), 0.87 (d, 3H, *J* 6.6, Me-27), 0.88 (3H, d, Me-21, *J* 6.3 Hz), 0.96 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.02–3.7 (m, 26H), 5.40 (d, 1H, *J* 5.0, H-6), 7.33–7.47 (m, 10H, *p*- + *m*-aryl H), 7.61–7.78 (m, 5H, *o*-aryl H). ¹³C and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR data are displayed in Table 4.

3 β -(Iododiphenyl)stannylcholest-5-ene (11). From 2 (0.101 g, 0.14 mmol) and I₂ (0.0389 g, 0.15 mmol), was recrystallised

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		9	10
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Empirical formula	C ₃₉ H ₅₅ ISn	$C_{33}H_{50}I_2Sn$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Formula weight	769.42	819.22
Wavelength (Å) 0.710 69 0.710 69 Crystal system Monoclinic Triclinic Space group P_2_1 P_1 uhi cell dimensions 14.51(16) 10.141(2) $dÅ$ 16.25(8) 15.518(5) d^r 90 88.62(3) d^r 90.0(3) 89.30(2) γ^{P} 90 63.08(2) Volume/Å ³ 1775(21) 1691.4(8) Z 2 2 Density (calc) (mg m ⁻³) 1.440 1.609 Absorption coeff./mm ⁻¹ 1.641 2.599 $f(000)$ 784 808 Crystal size (mm) 0.18 × 0.18 × 0.35 0.41 × 0.28 × 0.28 Index ranges -11 < 4 < 11	Temperature (K)	120(2)	150(2)
$\begin{array}{cccc} Crystal system & Monoclinic & Triclinic \\ Space group & P_1 & P_1 \\ Unit cell dimensions \\ dÅ & 14.51(16) & 10.141(2) \\ b/Å & 7.620(13) & 10.830(3) \\ c/Å & 16.25(8) & 15.518(5) \\ d^{-} & 90 & 88.62(3) \\ d^{-} & 90 & 63.08(2) \\ Volume/Å^3 & 1775(21) & 1691.4(8) \\ Z & 2 & 2 \\ Density (calc) (mg m^{-3}) & 1.440 & 1.609 \\ Absorption coeff./mm^{-1} & 1.641 & 2.599 \\ F(000) & 784 & 808 \\ Crystal size (mm) & 0.18 \times 0.18 \times 0.35 & 0.41 \times 0.28 \times 0.28 \\ Index ranges & -16 \leqslant h \leqslant 15 & -11 \leqslant h \leqslant 11 \\ -8 \leqslant k \leqslant 5 & -12 \leqslant k \leqslant 12 \\ 0 \leqslant l \leqslant 17 & 0.7643 & 1.89 \ to 24.89 \\ Index ranges & -16 \leqslant k \leqslant 5 & -12 \leqslant k \leqslant 12 \\ 0 \leqslant l \leqslant 17 & -17 \leqslant l \leqslant 13 \\ Refinement method & 4565 & 6133 \\ Independent reflections [l > 2a(l)] \\ Observed reflections [l > 2a(l)] \\ Refinement method & Full-matrix l.s on F^2 \\ Number of parameters & 334 & 693 \\ Goodness-of ft on F^2 (5) & 1.182 & 1.142 \\ Final R indices [l > 2a(l)] \\ R indices (all data) & R^1 = 0.0473 & R^1 = 0.0459 \\ wR2 = 0.137 & wR2 = 0.1170 \\ R indices (all data) & R^1 = 0.0473 & R^1 = 0.0459 \\ wR2 = 0.137 & wR2 = 0.1170 \\ R indices (all data) & R^1 = 0.0472 \\ wR2 = 0.137 & wR2 = 0.1170 \\ R indices (all data) & R^1 = 0.0472 \\ wR2 = 0.137 & wR2 = 0.1170 \\ R indices (all data) & R^1 = 0.0472 \\ wR2 = 0.137 & wR2 = 0.1170 \\ R indices (all data) & R^1 = 0.0472 \\ wR2 = 0.137 & wR2 = 0.1190 \\ wre P = (F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 & wre P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0 + ^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 & where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0 + ^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P = (F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 - 2F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3 \\ where P =$	Wavelength (Å)	0.710 69	0.710 69
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Space group	$P2_1$	<i>P</i> 1
	Unit cell dimensions		
	a/Å	14.51(16)	10.141(2)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	b/Å	7.620(13)	10.830(3)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	c/Å	16.25(8)	15.518(5)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	al°	90	88.62(3)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	βI°	99.0(3)	89.30(2)
Volume/ų1775(21)1691.4(8)Z22Density (calc) (mg m ⁻³)1.4401.609Absorption coeff./mm ⁻¹ 1.6412.599 $F(000)$ 784808Crystal size (mm)0.18 × 0.18 × 0.350.41 × 0.28 × 0.28Theta range/°1.75 to 24.931.89 to 24.89Index ranges $-16 \le h \le 15$ $-11 \le h \le 11$ $-8 \le k \le 5$ $-12 \le k \le 12$ $0 \le l = 17$ $-17 \le l \le 12$ $0 \le l = 17$ $-17 \le l \le 13$ Reflections collected45656133Independent reflections $IA265$ 6133Refinement methodFull-matrix l.s. on F^2 Full-matrix l.s. on F^2 Number of parameters334603Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.1821.142Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R1 = 0.0473$ $R1 = 0.0472$ $wR2 = 0.1372$ $wR2 = 0.1170$ $wR2 = 0.1190$ $wR2 = 0.1372$ $wR2 = 0.1190$ $wR2 = 0.1190$ Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + ^2)/3$ Where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + ^2)/3$ Kift and diffraction max/min (e Å^{-3})1.342/-0.8411.398/-0.750	γ/°	90	63.08(2)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Volume/Å ³	1775(21)	1691.4(8)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ζ	2	2
Absorption coeff./mm^{-1}1.6412.599 $F(000)$ 784808Crystal size (mm)0.18 × 0.18 × 0.350.41 × 0.28 × 0.28Theta range/°1.75 to 24.931.89 to 24.89Index ranges $-16 \le h \le 15$ $-11 \le h \le 11$ $-8 \le k \le 5$ $-12 \le k \le 12$ $0 \le l \le 17$ $-17 \le l \le 13$ Reflections collected45657363Independent reflections45656133[R(int) = 0.0748][R(int) = 0.0548]Observed reflections [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]43146133Refinement methodFull-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Number of parameters334693Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.1821.142Final R indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]R1 = 0.0473R1 = 0.0459wR2 = 0.1367wR2 = 0.1170wR2 = 0.1170R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0488,R1 = 0.0472where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter0.01(5) $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min (e Å ⁻³)1.342/-0.8411.398/-0.750	Density (calc) (mg m^{-3})	1.440	1.609
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Absorption coeff./mm ⁻¹	1.641	2.599
$\begin{array}{cccc} Crystal size (mm) & 0.18 \times 0.35 & 0.41 \times 0.28 \times 0.28 \\ Theta rangel^{\circ} & 1.75 to 24.93 & 1.89 to 24.89 \\ Index ranges & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	F(000)	784	808
Theta range/° Index ranges1.75 to 24.931.89 to 24.89Index ranges $-16 \le h \le 15$ $-8 \le k \le 5$ $0 \le l \le 17$ $-11 \le h \le 11$ $-12 \le k \le 12$ $0 \le l \le 17$ $-17 \le l \le 13$ Reflections collected45657363Independent reflections45656133Refinement methodFull-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Full-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Number of parameters334693Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.1821.142Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ R1 = 0.0473R1 = 0.0459wR2 = 0.1367wR2 = 0.1170R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0488, $wR2 = 0.1372$ R1 = 0.0472 $wR2 = 0.1190$ Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_c^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ where $P = (F_e^2 + 2F_e^2)/3$ $where P = (F_e^2 + 2F_e^2)/3$ where $P = (F_e^2 + 2F_e^2)/3$ Flack x parameter Residual diffraction max/min (e Å ⁻³)1.342/-0.8411.398/-0.750	Crystal size (mm)	$0.18 \times 0.18 \times 0.35$	$0.41 \times 0.28 \times 0.28$
Index ranges $-16 \le h \le 15$ $-11 \le h \le 11$ $-8 \le k \le 5$ $-12 \le k \le 12$ $0 \le l \le 17$ $-17 \le l \le 13$ Reflections collected 4565 Independent reflections 4565 $(R(int) = 0.0748]$ $[R(int) = 0.0548]$ Observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 4314 $A314$ 6133 Refinement methodFull-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Number of parameters 334 Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.182 Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R1 = 0.0473$ R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $R1 = 0.0488$, $R1 = 0.0472$ $wR2 = 0.1367$ $wR2 = 0.1170$ R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $R = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter $0.01(5)$ $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min (e Å ⁻³) $1.342/-0.841$	Theta range/°	1.75 to 24.93	1.89 to 24.89
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Index ranges		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$-16 \le h \le 15$	$-11 \le h \le 11$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$-8 \le k \le 5$	$-12 \le k \le 12$
Reflections collected 4565 7363 Independent reflections 4565 6133 $[R(int) = 0.0748]$ $[R(int) = 0.0548]$ Observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 4314 6133 Refinement method Full-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Full-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Number of parameters 334 693 Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.182 1.142 Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R1 = 0.0473$ $R1 = 0.0459$ $wR2 = 0.1367$ $wR2 = 0.1170$ R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $R1 = 0.0472$ $wR2 = 0.1372$ $wR2 = 0.1190$ Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + ^2)/3$ Flack x parameter 0.01(5) -0.04(4) Residual diffraction max/min (e Å ⁻³) 1.342/-0.841 1.398/-0.750		$0 \le l \le 17$	$-17 \le l \le 13$
Independent reflections45656133 $[R(int) = 0.0748]$ $[R(int) = 0.0548]$ Observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 43146133Refinement methodFull-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Full-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Number of parameters334693Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.1821.142Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ R1 = 0.0473R1 = 0.0459wR2 = 0.1367wR2 = 0.1170R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0488,R1 = 0.0472wR2 = 0.1372wR2 = 0.1190Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter0.01(5) $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e \ \text{Å}^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$	Reflections collected	4565	7363
$[R(int) = 0.0748]$ $[R(int) = 0.0548]$ Observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 43146133Refinement methodFull-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Full-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Number of parameters334693Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.1821.142Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ R1 = 0.0473R1 = 0.0459wR2 = 0.1367wR2 = 0.1170R indices (all data)R1 = 0.0488,R1 = 0.0472WR2 = 0.1372wR2 = 0.1190Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter0.01(5) $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e \ \text{Å}^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$	Independent reflections	4565	6133
Observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 4314 6133 Refinement method Full-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Full-matrix 1.s. on F^2 Number of parameters 334 693 Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.182 1.142 Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ R1 = 0.0473 R1 = 0.0459 wR2 = 0.1367 wR2 = 0.1170 R indices (all data) R1 = 0.0488, R1 = 0.0472 wR2 = 0.1372 wR2 = 0.1190 Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ Flack x parameter 0.01(5) $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e Å^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$		[R(int) = 0.0748]	[R(int) = 0.0548]
Refinement method Full-matrix l.s. on F^2 Full-matrix l.s. on F^2 Number of parameters 334 693 Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.182 1.142 Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R1 = 0.0473$ $R1 = 0.0459$ wR2 = 0.1367 wR2 = 0.1170 R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $R1 = 0.0472$ wR2 = 0.1372 wR2 = 0.1190 Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + ^2)/3$ Flack x parameter 0.01(5) $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min (e Å ⁻³) $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$	Observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	4314	6133
Number of parameters334693Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.1821.142Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R1 = 0.0473$ $R1 = 0.0459$ wR2 = 0.1367wR2 = 0.1170R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $R1 = 0.0472$ wR2 = 0.1372 $wR2 = 0.1372$ $wR2 = 0.1190$ Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter0.01(5) $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e Å^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$	Refinement method	Full-matrix l.s. on F^2	Full-matrix l.s. on F^2
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$ 1.1821.142Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R1 = 0.0473$ $R1 = 0.0459$ wR2 = 0.1367wR2 = 0.1170R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $R1 = 0.0472$ wR2 = 0.1372wR2 = 0.1190Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter0.01(5) $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e \ \text{Å}^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$	Number of parameters	334	693
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R1 = 0.0473$ $R1 = 0.0459$ R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $wR2 = 0.1170$ R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $R1 = 0.0472$ Final weighting scheme $wR2 = 0.1372$ $wR2 = 0.1190$ Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ Flack x parameter $0.01(5)$ $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e \text{ Å}^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$	Goodness-of-fit on $F^2(S)$	1.182	1.142
wR2 = 0.1367wR2 = 0.1170R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $wR2 = 0.1372$ $R1 = 0.0472$ $wR2 = 0.1190$ Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $where P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]where P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3Flack x parameterResidual diffraction max/min (e Å^-3)1.342/-0.8411.398/-0.750$	Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0473	R1 = 0.0459
R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0488$, $wR2 = 0.1372$ $R1 = 0.0472$ $wR2 = 0.1190$ Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter $0.01(5)$ $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e \text{ Å}^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$		wR2 = 0.1367	wR2 = 0.1170
$wR2 = 0.1372$ $wR2 = 0.1190$ Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter $0.01(5)$ $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e Å^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$	R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0488,	R1 = 0.0472
Final weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter $0.01(5)$ $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e Å^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$		wR2 = 0.1372	wR2 = 0.1190
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ where $P = (F_o^2 2F_c + 2)/3$ Flack x parameter $0.01(5)$ $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min $(e Å^{-3})$ $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$	Final weighting scheme	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0665P)^2]$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2]$
Flack x parameter $0.01(5)$ $-0.04(4)$ Residual diffraction max/min (e Å ⁻³) $1.342/-0.841$ $1.398/-0.750$		where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	where $P = (F_0^2 2F_c + ^2)/3$
Residual diffraction max/min ($e \dot{A}^{-3}$) 1.342/-0.841 1.398/-0.750	Flack x parameter	0.01(5)	-0.04(4)
	Residual diffraction max/min ($e \text{ Å}^{-3}$)	1.342/-0.841	1.398/-0.750

from ethanol as needles, 0.08 g, 74%, mp 148–149 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 0.67 (s, 3H, Me-18), 0.86 (d, 3H, *J* 6.7, Me-26), 0.86 (d, 3H, *J* 6.6, Me-27), 0.91 (d, 3H, *J* 6.5, Me-21), 1.00 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.03–2.71 (m, 26H), 5.29 (d, 1H, *J* 3.7, H-6), 7.37–7.50 (m, 10H, *p*-+*m*-aryl H), 7.58–7.76 (m, 5H, *o*-aryl H). ¹³C and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR data are displayed in Table 4.

3β-(Diiodophenyl)stannylcholest-5-ene (12). From **2** (0.102 g, 0.14 mmol) and I₂ (0.0071 g, 0.28 mmol), was recrystallised from ethanol as platelets, 0.07 g, 61%, mp 152–153 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 0.67 (s, 3H, Me-18), 0.86 (d, 3H, *J* 6.6, Me-26), 0.87 (d, 3H, *J* 6.5, Me-27), 0.91 (d, 3H, *J* 6.5, Me-21), 1.03 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.06–2.64 (m, 26H), 5.34 (d, 1H, *J* 5.2, H-6), 7.36–7.56 (m, 10H, *p*-+ *m*-aryl H), 7.57–7.71 (m, 5H, *o*-aryl H). ¹³C and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR data are displayed in Table 4.

Crystal structure determinations of 9 and 10 †

The colourless crystals used in the analyses were grown from $CHCl_3$ -MeOH. The unit cell and intensity data were collected on a Delft Instruments FAST diffractometer with monochromated Mo-K α radiation using the routines ENDEX, REFINE and MADONL in the MADNES³⁵ software and processed using ABSMAD;³⁶ detailed procedures have been described.³⁷

Compound 9. Corrections were made for Lorentz and polarisation effects. Corrections were made for absorptions effects using the empirical absorption correction program, XABS2;³⁸ (correction range 0.84-1.00). The positions of the tin and iodine were located from a Patterson vector map using SHELXS86.22 The positions of the remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located on successive difference Fourier maps using SHELXL93.39 The positions of the hydrogen atoms were calculated from geometrical calculations. During refinement hydrogens were allowed to ride on their attached carbon atoms. Full-matrix least-squares calculations with anisotropic displacement parameters for non-hydrogen atoms and common isotropic displacement parameters according to type (methyl, aromatic, etc.) for hydrogen atoms were calculated. Molecular diagrams were obtained by the program ZORTEP.40 Crystal data and structure refinement details are listed in Table 6.

Compound 10. The structure was solved with SIR92⁴¹ and refined with SHELXL93.³⁹ Corrections were made for absorptions effects using the absorption correction program, DIFABS;⁴² (correction range 0.82–1.01). The tin, carbon and iodine atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and the hydrogen atoms were allowed to ride on their attached atoms with common isotropic displacement parameters for the methyl and non-methyl hydrogens. Molecular diagrams were obtained by the program ZORTEP.⁴⁰ Crystal data and structure refinement details are listed in Table 6.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the EPSRC NMR and X-ray data collection services, based at the Universities of Edinburgh and Wales, Cardiff, respectively.

[†] Full crystallographic details, excluding structure factor tables, have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). For details of the deposition scheme, see 'Instructions for Authors', *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, available *via* the RSC Web pages (http://chemistry.rsc.org/rsc/p1pifa.htm). Any request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 207/162.

References

- 1 M. Gielen, P. Lelieveld, D. de Vos, H. Pan, R. Willem, M. Biesemans and H. H. Fiebig, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1992, 196, 115.
- 2 M. Gielen, R. Willem, T. Mancilla, J. Ramharter and E. Joosen, Silicon, Germanium, Tin Lead Cmpd., 1986, 9, 349; S. V. Kanakkanatt, in Tin as a Vital Nutrient; Implications in cancer Prophylaxis and other Physiological Processes, ed. N. F. Cardarelli, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1986, pp. 189-195; N. F. Cardarelli, in Tin as a Vital Nutrient; Implications in cancer Prophylaxis and other Physiological Processes, ed. N. F. Cardarelli, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1986, pp. 199–209.
- 3 H.-S. Dang and A. G. Davies, *Synthesis*, 1992, 833. 4 (a) H. Pan, R. Willem, J. Meunier-Piret and M. Gielen, Organometallics, 1990, 9, 2199; (b) F. Kayser, M. Biesemans, H. Pan, M. Gielen and R. Willem, Magn. Reson. Chem., 1992, 30, 877
- 5 H. J. Buchanan, P. J. Cox and J. L. Wardell, J. Chem. Cryst., 1996, 26, 219.
- 6 F. Kayser, M. Bieseman, H. Pan, M. Gielen and R. Willem, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1994, 297.
- 7 H. Zimmer and A. V. Bayless, Tetrahedron Lett., 1970, 259.
- 8 A. Saxena, F. Huber, L. Pellerito and A. Girasolo, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 1987, 1, 413.
- 9 N. F. Cardarelli and S. V. Kanakkannatt, USP 4 541 956, 1985.
- 10 K. Ruitenberg, H. Westmijze, J. Meijer, C. J. Elsevier and P. Mermeer, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 241, 417.
- 11 (a) W. Kitching, H. A. Olszowy and K. Harvey, J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 1893; (b) ibid., 1981, 46, 2423.
- 12 G. F. Smith, H. G. Kiuvila, R. Simon and L. Sultan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 833.
- 13 M. Pereyre, J. P. Quintard and A. Rahm, in Tin in Organic Synthesis, Butterworths, 1987.
- 14 W. Kitching, H. Olszowy, J. Waugh and D. Doddrell, J. Org. Chem., 1978. **43**. 898.
- 15 G. S. Koermer, M. L. Hall and T. G. Taylor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 7205.
- 16 F. R. Jensen and K. L. Nakamaye, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 3437.
- 17 L. N. Zakharov, B. I. Petrov, V. A. Lebedev, E. A. Kuzmin and N. V. Belov, Kristallografiya, 1978, 23, 1049; V. Cody and E. R. Corey, J. Organomet. Chem., 1969, 19, 359.
- 18 S. M. S. V. Doidge-Harrison, R. A. Howie, J. N. Low and J. L. Wardell, J. Chem. Cryst., 1997, 27, 291.
- 19 P. J. Cox, H. J. Buchanan and J. L. Wardell, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1996, 52, 2111.
- 20 W. Kitching, M. Marriott, W. Adcock and D. Doddrell, J. Org. Chem., 1976, 41, 1673.

- 21 W. Kitching, D. Doddrell and J. B. Grutzner, J. Organomet. Chem., 1976, 107, C5.
- 22 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1990, 46, 467.
- 23 H. Booth and J. R. Everett, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1976, 278; F. A. L. Anett, C. N. Bradley and G. W. Buchanan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 258.
- 24 D. Doddrell, I. Burfitt, W. Kitching, M. Bullpitt, C.-H. Lee, R. J. Mynott, J. L. Considine, H. G. Kuivila and R. H. Sarma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 76, 1640; B. Wrackmeyer, Ann. Rep. NMR Spectrosc., 1985, 16, 73.
- 25 A. Rahm, J. Grimeau, M. Petraud and B. Barbe, J. Organomet. Chem., 1985, 286, 297.
- 26 C. A. G. Haasnoot, F. A. A. M. de Leeuw and C. Altona, Tetrahedron, 1980, 36, 2783.
- 27 E. S. Wallis, E. Fernholtz and F. T. Gephart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1937, 59, 137.
- 28 B. Helferich and E. Gunther, Ber., 1939, 72B, 338.
- 29 A. Bayless, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cincinnatti, 1968.
- 30 O. Diels and P. Blumberg, Ber., 1911, 44, 2847.
- 31 C. Tamborski, F. E. Ford and E. J. Soloski, J. Org. Chem., 1963, 28, 181.
- 32 A. Bax and S. Subramanian, J. Magn. Reson., 1986, 67, 565.
- 33 A. Bax and M. F. Summers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2093.
- 34 E. Breitmaier and W. Voelter, in Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy, VCH, Berlin, 1989.
- 35 J. W. Pflugrath and A. Messerschmidt, MADNESS, Version 11, September 1989, Distributed by Delft Instruments, Delft, The Netherlands.
- 36 A. I. Karaulov, ABSMAD, Program for FAST Data Processing, University of Wales, Cardiff.
- 37 J. A. Darr, S. R. Drake, M. B. Hursthouse and K. M. A. Malik, Inorg. Chem., 1993, 32, 5704.
- 38 S. Parkin, B. Moezzi and H. Hope, J. Appl. Cryst., 1995, 28, 53.
- 39 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-93, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Gottingen, Germany, 1993.
- 40 L. Zsolnai, ZORTEP, An interactive ORTEP program, University of Heidelberg, Germany, 1996. 41 A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. Cascarano, C.
- Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi and G. Polidori, J. Appl. Cryst., 1994, 27, 435
- 42 N. Walker and D. Stuart, DIFABS, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1983, 39, 158.

Paper 7/03596I Received 23rd May 1997 Accepted 22nd August 1997